9200152

# THE UNIVERD STAYLES OF AMERICA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME:

# Northrup King Co.

Wilhereas, there has been presented to the

## Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF eighteen years from the date of this grant, subject to the payment of the required fees and periodic replenishment of viable basic seed of the variety in a public repository as provided by LAW, the right to exclude others from selling the variety, or offering it for sale, or reproducing it, importing it, or exporting it, or using it in producing a hybrid or different tety therefrom, to the extent provided by the Plant Variety Protection Act.

UNITED STATES SEED OF THIS VARIETY (1) SHALL BE SOLD BY VARIETY NAME ONLY AS OF CERTIFIED SEED AND (2) SHALL CONFORM TO THE NUMBER OF GENERATIONS BY THE OWNER OF THE RIGHTS. (84 STAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

WHEAT

'Coker 9543'

In Lestimony Entereot, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Taxiety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C. this 29th day of April in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and ninety-four.

Plant Variety Protection Office

Cibre Eso Secretary of Agriculture

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Agriculture, Clearance Office, DIRM; Room 404-W, Washington, D.C. 20250; and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (DMB #0581-0055), Washington, 20250.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AC AGRICULTURAL MARKET	GRICULTURE ING SERVICE		App	olication is required in order to ermine it a plant variety protection
APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIETY (Instructions on r		I CERTIFICATE	Into	ilicate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421) rmation is held confidential unti- ificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426)
NAME OF APPLICANT(S) (as it is to appear on the Certificate)	:	2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION C	)R 3.	VARIETY NAME
		EXPERIMENTAL NO.		
Northrup King Co.		CL860426	С	oker 9543
4. ADDRESS (street and no. or R.F.D. no., city, state, and ZIP)		5. PHONE (include area code)		FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
P.O. Box 959			PVPC	NUMBER
Minneapolis, MN 55440	The Property of the Control of the C	(612) 593-7333		9200152
	original of the contract of th	s de	F	Date (13/1992
6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME	GENUS AND SPECIES NAME 7. FAMILY NAME (Bolanical)			Tirbe
Triticum aestivum L.	Gramineae		N G	A.M. P.M.
8. CROP KIND NAME (Common Name)		DATE OF DETERMINATION	F	Fiting and Examination Fee:
			E	: 2150,
Common wheat	` '	otember, 1988	s	12 100 2
10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON," GIVE FORM OF ORGANI	ZATION (Corporation, part	nership, association, etc.)	R E	Upr. 13,1712
Corporation	1. Of 18 to 18		C	Celulicate Fee:
11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION	12. DA	TE OF INCORPORATION	一 ;	1: 200, 00
Delaware		1976	E	april 1.1994
13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S), IF ANY, TO S	SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION	N AND RECEIVE ALL PAPERS		1-10-10-17
R. W. Romig	1	the second secon		ra sa Titoria de la composição de la com
Northrup King Co.		**		
P.O. Box 959	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	· •		
Minneapolis, MN 55440		PHONE (include area	code): (	612) 593-7305
14. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED (Follow	w INSTRUCTIONS on rever	se)		
a X Exhibit A Origin and Breeding History of the Variety.			A Section	$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0$
b. X Exhibit B. Novelty Statement.		A second		en francisco françois
c. X Exhibit C, Objective Description of Variety.  d.			4	g timega in the
Exhibit E. Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Ownership			1 1	Surfacilities of the second
Seed Sample (2,500 viable untreated seeds). Date Seed S		ariatu Bastastusa Office		to the second
g. Filing and Examination Fee (\$2,150) made payable to "Tre				
15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE SOLD			Can racli	on 83/et of the Plant Variaty
Protection Act.)  YES (# "YES." answer items 16 and 17 belo		O." skip to item 18 below)	(300 3001)	on one or me i rem rement
16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS VARIETY BE LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATIONS?		TEM 16, WHICH CLASSES OF PRO	DOUCTION	BEYOND BREEDER SEED?
X YES NO		<b>5</b> 7		[ <del>]</del>
I∆ 125 L1 MU	. i [X] FOU	NDATION X REC	SISTERED	X CERTIFIED
18. DID THE APPLICANT(S) PREVIOUSLY FILE FOR PROTECTION OF THE VAR	IETY IN THE U.S.?	<del></del>		
YES III "YES," through Plant Variety Protection Act	Patent Act. Give dat			
X NO				
19 HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, USED, OFFERED FOR SALE, OR MA	RKETED IN THE U.S. OR O	THER COUNTRIES?		
YES (If "YES," give names of countries and dates)	., fall of 19	01		and the second second
U NO C.B.R	, rarr or r.	,		Martin San Comment
70				Section of the sectio
20. The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of basic seer request in accordance with such regulations as may be applicant.	ds of this variety will able.	be furnished with the applica	ation and	will be replenished upon
The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s) of this s	exually reproduced r	ovel plant variety, and beli	eve(s) th	at the variety is distinct
uniform, and stable as required in section 41, and is entitled	to protection under th	e provisions of section 42 of the	he Plant '	Variety Protection Act.
Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false representation herei	n can jeopardize prote	ection and result in penalties.		
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT (Owner(s))	CAPACITY OR T	TLE	a	ATE
Wober W. Homes	Vice Pr	esident Research	•	4/9/92
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT [Owner(s)]	CAPACITY OR T	ITLE	0	ATE /

### EXHIBIT A

# Origin and Breeding History

The soft, red winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) variety Coker 9543 is derived from the cross Coker 916/C81B60 we made at Hartsville, SC in 1981. The pedigree of C81B60 is Oasis/Coker 762. The breeding history follows:

Season	<u>Generation</u>	Activity			
Spring 1981	Cross made	Cross identified as X874			
1981-82	F1	Seed bulked			
1982-83	F2	Space planted and heads selected			
1983-84	F3	Heads reselected from head row #7920			
1984-85	F4	Head row #20803 selected			
1985-86	F5	Line #86B-72 tested			
1986-87	F6	Continued testing; assigned CL860426			
1987-88	F7	Advanced tests			
1988-89	F8	Elite test and increase at Bay, AR			
1989-90	F9	Elite test and small increase by Pro- duction Department			
1990-91	F10	Elite test and large increase by Pro- duction Department			
1991-92	F11	Seed sold to TGN growers for certi- fied seed production			

Coker 9543 has been widely tested in Northrup King research trials for the past four years. It was also evaluated in 1988-89 and 1989-90 in the Uniform Eastern Soft Red Winter Wheat Nursery. It was entered in several state trials for the 1990-91 season. Based on performance in these tests, Coker 9543 has been uniform and stable.

Plants of Coker 9543 are very uniform in appearance; the few variants observed in the variety have been slightly taller/later types and very rarely awned types. The frequency of variants should not exceed 5/10,000.

#### EXHIBIT B

## Novelty Statement

The variety Coker 9543 most closely resembles the variety Coker 747. It can be differentiated on the basis of coleoptile color and reaction to hessian fly (*Phytophaga destructor*). The variety Coker 9543 has a green coleoptile with a vertical stripe whereas Coker 747 has a green coleoptile without the vertical stripe. The variety Coker 9543 is resistant to biotype E of hessian fly (*P. destructor*) whereas Coker 747 is susceptible.

EXHIBIT C

# U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE LIVESTOCK, MEAT, GRAIN AND SEED DIVISION BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20786

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY WHEAT (TRITICUM SPP.)

INSTRUCTIONS: See Reverse. NAME OF APPLICANT(S) FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY Northrup King Co. PYPO NUMBER ADDRESS (Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP Code) VARIETY NAME OR TEMPORARY P.O. Box 959 DESIGNATION Minneapolis, MN 55440 Coker 9543 Place the appropriate number that describes the varietal character of this variety in the boxes below. Place a zero in first box (e-8. 0 8 9 or 0 9 ) when number is either 99 or less or 9 or less. 1. KIND: 1 = COMMON 2 = DURUM 3 = FHMFR 4 = SPELT 5 = POLISH 6 = POULARD 7 = CLUB 2. TYPE I = SOFT 3 = OTHER (Specify) 1 = SPRING 2 = WINTER 3 = OTHER (Specify) 2 = HARD 1 = WHITE 2 = RED 3 = OTHER (Specify) 3. SEASON - NUMBER OF DAYS FROM EMERGENCE TO: FIRST FLOWERING 2 LAST FLOWERING 4. MATURITY (50% Flowering): 0 NO. OF DAYS EARLIER THAN .... 7=Caldwell 1 = ARTHUR 2 = SCOUT3 = CHRIS 4 = LEMHI 5 = NUGAINES 6 = LEEDS 8=Coker 916 0 NO. OF DAYS LATER THAN ..... 8 5. PLANT HEIGHT (From soil level to top of head): 8 6 CM. HIGH CM. TALLER THAN . . . . . . . . . 8 3 = chris 7=Caldwell 2 = SCOUT1 = ARTHUR 6 = LEEDS 8=Coker 983 5 = NUGAINES 4 = LEMHI PLANT COLOR AT BOOTING (See reverse): 7. ANTHER COLOR: I = YELLOW GREEN 2 = GREEN 3 = BLUE GREEN 1 = YELLOW 2 = PURPLE 8. STEM: 2 Anthocyanin: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT Waxy bloom: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT Hairiness of last 2 = solio 3=basal-solid, upper\_hollow internode of rachis: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT Internodes: 1 = HOLLOW 3 to 4 NO. OF NODES (Originating from node above ground) CM. INTERNODE LENGTH BETWEEN FLAG LEAF AND LEAF BELOW 9. AURICLES: Anthocyanin: 1 = ABSENT Hairiness: | = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT 10. LEAF: Flag leaf at 1 = ERECT 2 = RECURVED booting stage: Flag leaf: 1 = NOT TWISTED 2 = TWISTED 3 = OTHER (Specify):\_ Hairs of first leaf sheath: | = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT Waxy bloom of flag leaf sheath: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT MM, LEAF WIDTH (First test below flag leaf) CM. LEAF LENGTH (First leaf below flag leaf):

	ETT MOST CLOSELT RESEMBLES THA	T SUBMITTED:			
CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY	CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY		
Plant tillering	Coker 747	Seed size	Coker 916		
Leaf size	Coker 747	Seed shape	Coker 916		
Leaf color	Coker 747	Coleoptile elongation	Coker 147		
Leaf carriage	Coker 747	Seedling pigmentation	NuDara RECEIVED		
	INCT	DOTIONS	/SY USDA AMS		

INSTRUCTIONS

GENERAL: The following publications may be used as a reference aid for the standardization of terms and procedures for completing of (a) L.W. Briggle and L. P. Reitz, 1963, Classification of Triticum Species and Wheat Varieties Grown in the United States. Technical

Bulletin 1278, United States Department of Agriculture. Plant Variety (b) W.E. Walls, 1965, A Standardized Phenol Method for Testing Wheat Seeds for Varietal Purity, contribution We 28 protection dico

seed testing prepared by the Association of Official Seed Analysts. (See attachment.)

### Coker 9543

# 2. Factors of Adaptation

A.	DISEASE	REACTION*			
	Leaf rust	Resistant			
	Stem rust	Moderately resistant			
	Stripe rust	Moderately resistant			
	Powdery mildew	Resistant			
	<u>Septoria tritici</u>	Moderately resistant			
	Septoria nodorum	Susceptible			
	Soil borne virus complex	Resistant			

\*Based on field races of pathogens prevalent in Mid-South during period 1986-91.

# C. Milling and Baking Quality Evaluation.

Quality		Coker	9543/yr			Standard/yr*		
Parameter	1987	1988	1989	1990	1987	1988	1989	1990
Milling Quality Micro T.W. (kg/hd)	106.8	103.1 79.7		98.3 79.8	100	100 74.2	100 77.0	100
Softness Equivalent	60.49	54.2	54.0	54.7	66.34	57.4	58.9	53.5
Flour yield % Ash	71.98	70.9	74.2	76.1	70.6	70.1	74.9	77.2
Break flour yield	37.6	29.8			42.3	32.5		
Ext.	74.6	73.3			72.7	72.5		
E.S.I.	13.2	14.9			15.8	16.0		
Baking Quality Flour protein %	96.2	102.2	77.3	101.4	100	100	100	100
	11.0	8.6	9.44	8.61	9.8	9.6	9.23	10.78
Micro AWRL	51.7	54.2	53.2	54.9	55.6	54.8	51.6	52.7
Cookie diameter		17.4	17.73	17.7		17.4	17.87	17.0
Top grain score		4	1	2		2	3	1

<sup>\*</sup>Standard was Florida 302 in 1988, 1989, and 1990; McNair 1003 in 1987.

### EXHIBIT E

# Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Ownership

Wheat variety Coker 9543 was developed by the Northrup King Co. cereals breeding staff from germplasm sources cited in Exhibit A of this application. Northrup King Co. believes that the variety is novel as defined in the Plant Variety Protection Act and, therefore, that Northrup King Co. is the sole owner of the variety.